



Flexible Operation of Post-Combustion Amine-based CCS with a Co-located Wind Farm

Rubenka Bandyopadhyay & Dalia Patiño-Echeverri Nicholas School of the Environment Duke University

FERC, Washington, D.C., November 7, 2014



Location of Coal Plants Suitable for CCS Retrofit



Map generated based on data from eGrid Database: http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-resources/egrid/

~35% of electricity sector CO_2 emissions come from plants suitable for CCS retrofits

Wind power (~11 TW) could cost effectively meet RPS goals if challenges from <u>intermittency</u> and access to <u>transmission</u> capacity are resolved

Background Information and Objectives

Method

Partial Capture

Amine Storage



Research Question

Can flexible operation of coal plants with CCS retrofits reduce:

a) Cost of Capture of CO₂(CoC) b) Cost of integration of wind power

Background Information and Objectives Method Partial Capture

Amine Storage



The Hybrid System





Background

Information and

Objectives

Method

- Choose a existing coal plant location suitable for the hybrid system¹
- Determine optimal size and dispatch schedule of the hybrid system to maximize revenue
- Measure hybrid system's performance:
 - Wind power integrated (MW) as % of nameplate capacity
 - Levelized cost of electricity (\$/MWh)
 - Cost of CO₂ Capture (\$/ton)
- Analysis of performance of the hybrid system in selected region for:
 - Electricity prices variability

Method

• Emissions rate limits (Imposed by regs. to Partial Capture)

Partial Capture

Amine Storage

Conclusions

Wind variability

1. Finkenrath M., Smith J., and Volk D. "CCS Retrofit: Analysis of the Globally Installed Coal-fired Power Plant Fleet". International Energy Agency Information Report. 2012



LP Optimization Model

forging a sustainable future

Maximize

• $\Omega(\text{Net Revenue}) =$

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Revenue}_{\rm Coal\ Plant\ and\ Wind\ Farm\ }-{\rm Loss\ of\ Revenue}_{\rm CCS\ }-{\rm O\&M}_{\rm Coal\ }-{\rm Capital\ and\ O\&M\ Cost}_{\rm Wind\ Farm\ }-{\rm Variable\ Cost}_{\rm CCS\ }-{\rm Storage\ Configuration\ of\ CCS\ }} \end{array}$

Decision Variables

- Size of Wind Farm
- Dispatch schedule of wind and coal unit
 - Partial capture \rightarrow amount of flue gas vented
 - Amine storage → size of storage tank and rate of regeneration

Subject to physical constraints on operation of the hybrid system

>	Background	Method	 Partial	$\overline{\lambda}$	Amine	Conclusions
	Objectives		Capture	\mathbb{Z}	Storage	conclusions



Data and Assumptions

- Perfect foresight for electricity price and wind speed forecasts
- Hourly electricity prices from PJM hubs year 2013
- 10-minutes synthetic wind power data from SynTiSe MCMC trained with EWITS data
- Capital costs of amine-storage from IECM (Integrated Environmental Control Model)
- No additional capital costs for partial capture
- Cost of wind power: NREL report '2011 Cost of Wind Energy Review'
- Coal plant specifications as in eGrid for Powerton (1786 MW plant)

Background Information and Objectives



Cost of CO₂Capture(\$ per ton) =

LCOE_{Hybrid System} – LCOE_{Coal Plant without CCS}

CO₂Emmissions_{Coal Plant without CCS} – CO₂Emissions_{Hybrid System}



 Capital & Annual Operating Cost_{Wind Farm} + Capital & O&M Cost_{CCS} + Loss of Revenue_{CCS} + O&M Cost_{Coal Plant}

 Net Power Dispatched by the Hybrid System

Background Information and Obiectives

Partial Capture

Method

8



Quantifying Ramp Characteristics in Wind Power

To characterize wind power variability the following metrics are defined:

- Ramp_t = $I_{|WP_t-WP_{t-1}| \ge Threshold} * |WP_t WP_{t-1}|$ where I is the indicator function
- Aggregated Ramp Magnitude: $\operatorname{Ramp}_{t_0,d_{t_0}}^{\operatorname{aggregated}} = \left| WP_{t_0} WP_{t_0+d_{t_0}} \right|$

• Average Ramp Magnitude:
$$\operatorname{Ramp}_{t_0,d_{t_0}}^{\operatorname{Rolling Avg}} = \frac{\sum_{i=t_0}^{t_0+d_{t_0}} \operatorname{Ramp}_i}{d_{t_0}}$$

• Mean Aggregated Ramp Magnitude as Percentage: $MARMAP = \frac{\sum_{i \in I} Ramp_{t_i, d_{t_i}}^{Rolling Avg}}{Nameplate Capacity*|I|} * 100\% \text{ where I is the index set of all}$ aggregated ramp start instances <u>Example</u>

Background Information and Obiectives





ENVIRONMENT





Results

Assuming the hybrid system is required to perform as a **base-load plant**:

- <u>Configuration</u> (how much wind?)
- <u>Cost of CO₂ capture</u>

- For partial capture
- For amine -storage

Sensitivity to variability in prices and wind







Objectives



Cost of Capture (CoC) for Base-load Operation with Partial Capture Configuration



coal plant (with specs similar to the Powerton plant in IL), operating at the Chicago Hub with mid-level wind Power ramp characteristics. Annual average CO₂ emission limit: 300 lbs/MWh (Slide 17)

Background Information and Objectives Method Partial Capture Amine Storage Conclusions

Cost of Capture (CoC) for Base-load Operation with Amine Storage Configuration





Duke FINITE COST OF Capture (CoC) for Base-load Operation with MICHOLAS SCHOOL OF THE ENVIRONMENT forging a sustainable future (CoC) for Base-load Operation with Amine Storage Configuration



Base Case CoC : Operation of a hybrid system with amine storage operation consisting of a 1785.6 MW sub-critical coal plant (with specs similar to the Powerton plant), operating at the Chicago Hub with mid-level wind power

ramp characteristics



Amine Storage

Cost of Capture (CoC) for Base-load Operation with Amine Storage Configuration

NICHOLAS SCHOOL OF THE ENVIRONMENT

forging a sustainable future





Scope of Implementation for Partial Capture Configuration

- The potential for wind power integration depends on:
 - Variability of the wind power
 - Variability of electricity prices
- For PJM in 2013, the hybrid system's CoC is comparable to CoC for replacement with a new NGCC plant
- For highly variable wind (i.e. ramp >98%), base-load operation of hybrid system is not profitable

Background Information and Objectives

Method



Scope of Implementation for Amine Storage Configuration

- The potential for wind power integration depends on:
 - Variability of the wind power
 - Variability of electricity prices
- Low price variability (e.g. AEP General Hub in 2013) does not allow cost-effective integration of wind for base-load power operation
- High price variability, (e.g. Dominion Hub in 2013), and 'Steady' wind, can integrate wind farms of capacities of up to 12.5%. If wind sites have 'Mid Variability' the wind farm size decreases to 2%
- Wind sites with "high" variability can not be profitably integrated with the hybrid system with base-load operation <u>(ramp</u> <u>characteristics)</u>

Background Information and Objectives Method Partial Capture Amine Storage



Effect of relaxing the base-load operation constraint

- Increase in percent of wind power in the system 1
- Reductions in the CoC values for both the partial capture and amine storage configurations.
- Partial capture (net power allowed to vary beyond 10% of rated capacity) :
 - **5-21%** increase in wind power integration
 - 1.5 4.2 \$/ton decrease in CoC is
- Amine Storage (net power allowed to vary beyond 10% of rated capacity) :
 - 18-32 % increase in wind power integration
 - 2-10.7 \$/ton decrease in CoC



- Provides a viable method of transitioning to a power system with lower carbon emissions
- Facilitates renewable power integration
- Provides a hedge against uncertainty of natural gas prices and carbon emissions constraints
- Allows significant increase in profits relative to a CCS retrofitted coal plant operating continuously
- For the amine storage configuration, the hybrid system has lower CoC relative to new NGCC plants if natural gas prices are at least:
 - 'Steady' wind and high price variability: 5.8 \$/MBtu
 - Low price variability (e.g. AEP hub): 14.5 \$/MBtu

Backgrou Informatio	ind h and	Method	\mathbf{i}	Partial Capture	\sum	Amine Storage	\mathbf{i}	Conclusions	
Objectiv	es 📃								



Conclusions

- At least three additional benefits have not been considered:
 - Avoided costs of procuring additional transmission capacity for wind power: Median value estimated at 15\$/MWh¹
 - Avoided costs of integration of intermittent resources to the grid: Estimated at 3.10 – 5.13 \$/MWh for up to 30% of penetration of wind power in the Eastern Interconnect²
 - Increased ramp-capability of the hybrid system: Analysis of our test system operation indicates ramp-capability payments of 110-430
 \$/MW to enable the system to operate without losses even in the absence of price variability

1. Mills A., Wiser R., and Porter K. "The Cost of Transmission of Wind Energy: A Review of Transmission Planning Studies". Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 16 (2012) 1– 19 2. "Eastern Wind Integration & Transmission Study", prepared by EnerNex Corporation for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, February 2011







Contact Information:

Rubenka Bandyopadhyay: <u>rb171@duke.edu</u> Dalia Patiño-Echeverri: <u>dalia.patino@duke.edu</u>

Background Information and Objectives Objectives



23



Brasington R.D. Integration and Operation of Post-Combustion Capture System on coal-fired power generation: Load following and Peak Power.MS Thesis at MIT. June, 2012 Previous Slide





Location of Coal Plants Suitable for CCs Retrofit



Return to Slide 2





Back-up Slide: CCS Legislation in the US



Carbon Capture & Storage in the States. <u>http://www.ncsl.org/research/energy/carbon-capture-and-storage-in-the-states.aspx</u> . Accessed 14th March, 2014.





Back-up Slide: Wind Power Potential in the US



Background Information and Objectives Method Partial Capture Amine Storage Conclusions



Back-up Slide Quantifying Variability of Electricity Prices (LMP)



Background Information and Objectives



Back-up Slide

Quantifying Variability of Electricity Prices (LMP)

Hub Name	Mean	Mean Annual	$\sum_{i=1}^{8760} d_i$	Standard			
	Annual PD ^{Rolling Avg}	PDt	8760	Deviation (LMP t)			
	(\$/MWh)						
'AEP GEN HUB'	7.049	4.795	0.198	14.44			
'OHIO HUB'	7.277	5.070	0.201	15.25			
'AEP-DAYTON HUB'	7.644	5.196	0.200	15.76			
'CHICAGO GEN HUB'	7.684	5.514	0.212	15.37			
'N ILLINOIS HUB'	7.875	5.680	0.213	15.92			
'CHICAGO HUB'	8.210	6.002	0.213	16.88			
'WEST INT HUB'	8.393	6.045	0.199	31.52			
'ATSI GEN HUB'	8.501	6.481	0.198	73.35			
'WESTERN HUB'	9.200	6.512	0.201	20.90			
'EASTERN HUB'	9.439	7.010	0.203	23.27			
'NEW JERSEY HUB'	9.553	7.031	0.203	23.55			
'DOMINION HUB'	10.060	7.159	0.207	22.63			
Background Information and Me Objectives	thod	Partial Capture	Amine Sto	orage Conclusions			

uke 🗑 **Quantifying Ramp Characteristics** in Wind Power



Slide 8

Background Information and **Objectives**

NICHOLAS SCHOOL OF THE **ENVIRONMENT**

forging a sustainable future

29